PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:				PCT				
see form PCT/ISA/220					WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)			
					Date of mailing (day/month/ye	•	orm PCT/ISA/210 (second s	sheet)
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220					FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
·			International filing 25.02.2005	j date (d	date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 12.03.2004			ar)
i	International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC INV. G03F7/32							
1	licant DAK POLYCH	ROME GRAPHI	CS GMBH					
1.	This opinion	contains indicati	ons relating to the	ne folic	owing items:			
2.	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VI Box No. VI Box No. VI FURTHER AC If a demand for written opinion the applicant content international B will not be so content international B will not the Iffrom the date of whichever expired.	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned stat applicability; ci Certain docum Certain defects Certain observ TION Trinternational prelications hooses an Authority ureau under Rule onsidered. So, as provided about the	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited Certain defects in the international application Certain observations on the international application ON International preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a find the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where losses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the eau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority insidered. as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to fix a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months smalling of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date,					
J .	ron lutther deta	ins, see notes to F	OIII FOINSAI220	'-				
				te of con	mpletion of	Authorize	d Officer	esthes Falonions
	D-80298 Tel. +49	n Patent Office Munich 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 5236 89 2399 - 4465	see	· e form :T/ISA/21			z García, J se No. +49 89 2399-2234	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/002016

AP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 05 SEP 2006

_	Box No. I Basis of the opinion				
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a translation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnish purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).	ned for the			
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a. type of material:				
	☐ a sequence listing				
	☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. format of material:	•			
	☐ on paper				
	☐ in electronic form				
	c. time of filing/furnishing:				
	□ contained in the international application as filed.				
	☐ filed together with the international application in electronic form.				
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table related been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or accopies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed appropriate, were furnished.	ditional			

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/002016

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of						
C	The entire international application					
Σ	d claims Nos. 12					
b	ecause:					
C	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international search (specify):					
Σ	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 12 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
	see separate sheet					
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.					
Ε	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:					
	furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.					
	furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.					
	pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13 ter. 1(a) or (b).					
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.					
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.					
	See Supplemental Box for further details					

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/002016

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

Claims

No:

15

1-11, 13, 14

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

15

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

AP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 05 SEP 2006

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/EP2005/002016

International application No.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1). Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: EP-A-0 716 347 (FUJI PHOTO FILM CO., LTD) 12 June 1996 (1996-06-12)
 - D2: US-A-4 822 722 (LEWIS ET AL) 18 April 1989 (1989-04-18)
 - D3: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 014, no. 136 (P-1021), 14 March 1990 (1990-03-14) & JP 02 002572 A (KONICA CORP), 8 January 1990 (1990-01-08)
- 2). The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-11, 13 and 14 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 3). The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 15 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 4). D1 discloses aqueous, alkali-free developers and replenishers for photosensitive lithographic printing plates containing (1) as a development stabiliser at least one compound selected from the group consisting of sugars, oximes, phenols and fluorinated alcohols, and (2) an alkali agent, and having a pH value in the range 11.0 to 13.5 (see abstract).

D1 particularly discloses in example 3 (see page 27, lines 55-58, page 28, lines 19-24, and Table 2 on page 29), a developer (called D) which comprises sodium carbonate and tetrabutylammonium hydroxide in concentrations 25,0 g/l and 0,2 g/l, respectively. The developer's pH value is adjusted to 12,5 with potassium hydroxide. Replenisher H contains the same components but its pH was adjusted to 13,4.

Thus, developer D and replenisher H of D1 anticipate all features characterising current claims 1 to 3, 5, 7 and 8.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/002016

- 5). Furthermore, example 3 according to D1 also discloses a process for developing exposed printing plate precursors as claimed in current claims 11, 13 and 14 (see page 20, lines 29-30, and page 27, lines 1-24).
- 6). D1 teaches the addition of optional components to the developer compositions such as organic solvents, antifoam agents, complexing salts, surfactants and germicides (see page 14, lines 4-41). Thus, the subject-matter of claim 6 is not novel.
- 7). Additionally, the subject-matter of claim 15, although not explicitly disclosed in D1, fails to involve an inventive step. According to D1, page 15, lines 3-7, the developer and replenisher can be prepared in the form of concentrated solution which is reduced in water content. The degree of concentration is limited according to D1 only by the requirement that the ingredients do not separate out or deposit. The determination of the appropriate degree of concentration is considered to be comprised within the compass of a person skilled in the art.
- 8). D2 relates to high-contrast developers for exposed photoresists comprising inorganic salts, preferably a carbonate, a carboxylated surfactant and an alkali metal base, preferably potassium or sodium hydroxide (see abstract). Examples 17-21 and 23-26 show developer compositions containing high concentrations of aqueous potassium hydroxide (0,150 0,200 N), a surfactant and potassium carbonate in concentrations ranging between 2 and 8 wt.-%. The pH value of such solutions can be calculated starting from the KOH concentrations, and vary between 13,2 and 13,3 (pH = 14 log [OH]). The amounts of potassium carbonate fall in the ranges claimed in claims 9 and 10, the subject-matter of which therefore lacks novelty.
- Furthermore, D2 teaches the addition of alkali silicates to the developer compositions (see col. 7, lines 10-21), which anticipates the subject-matter of current claim 4.
- 10). For the sake of completeness, D2 also discloses the subject-matter of claims 1-3 and 5-8. D3 also discloses the features of claims 1-5 and 7-8.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/002016

11). Finally, the subject-matter of claim 12 is not properly defined. A process cannot be characterised by a negative feature related to a different process. The claim lacks clarity to such an extent that no opinion can be established on novelty or inventive step.